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ABSTRACT
At I/ITSEC 2015, we presented an approach to produce geo-referenced, highly-detailed (10cm or better) 3D models for an area of interest using imagery collected from cheap, commercial-off-the-shelf, multirotor Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS). This paper discusses the next steps in making this data usable for modern-day game and simulation engines, specifically how it may be visually rendered, used and reasoned with by the physics system, the artificial intelligence (AI), the simulation entities, and other components. The pipeline begins by segmenting the point cloud created by the UAS imagery into terrain (elevation data) and structures or objects, including vegetation, structures, roads and other surface features. Attributes such as slope and edge detection and color matching are used to perform segmentation and clustering. After the terrain and objects are segmented, they are exported into engine-agnostic formats (georeferenced GeoTIFF digital elevation model (DEM) and ground textures, OBJ/FBX mesh files and JPG textures), which serves as the basis for their representation in-engine. The data is then attributed with metadata used in reasoning – collision surfaces, navigation meshes/networks, apertures, physics attributes (line-of-sight, ray-tracing), material surfaces, and others. Finally, it is loaded into the engine for real-time processing during runtime. The pipeline has been tested with several engines, including Unity, VBS, Unreal and TitanIM. The paper discusses the pipeline from collection to rendering, and as well as how other market/commercially-derived data can serve as the foundation for M&S terrain in the future. Examples of the output of this research are available online (McAlinden, 2016).
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INTRODUCTION

As recently as one year ago it required a TS/SCI clearance to access 30-cm satellite imagery. However, after the launching of DigitalGlobe WorldView3 in late 2014 (and the upcoming WorldView4 in September 2016), virtually anyone with a credit card can access such data. Though this example is an oversimplification, it drives the point that certain data that was once allusive and confined to a select group of individuals and organizations is increasingly becoming a commodity. Additionally, new, alternative sources for terrain data have appeared and are ripe for exploitation by the modeling, simulation and training communities. Examples include social media, open-source and crowd-sourced information feeds (RSS, Open Street Maps, Twitter, Google Earth), as well as government-collected data available on the open marketplace (NASA SRTM2 elevation data). The traditional aerial imaging market has also been upended with the advancement of air platforms, camera systems, optics, batteries and storage. In the past, capturing the most rudimentary picture from an aircraft often required significant money ($10,000s) and long lead times to produce. Now one can purchase a $500 off-the-shelf drone, and autonomously fly and collect that same data in a matter of hours. This research centers around these newer sources of collection and associated terrain data, which even a few years ago would have been cost prohibitive or technically infeasible.

One such example is commercial/off-the-shelf (COTS) Unmanned Aerial System (UAS) technology, which is increasingly capable, easy to use, available, and competitively priced. And with the new Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) 107 Regulations enacted in August 2016, the ability to fly UAS for non-hobbyist purposes (commercial, academic, research) has been made exponentially less cumbersome. With this will come rapid and beneficial advancements in aircraft design, batteries, imaging and other capabilities that M&S has the opportunity to exploit. This particular paper describes a workflow to leverage these assets for rapid development of simulation-ready terrain assets from data captured in the field. We build on our earlier work in this area (McAlinden et al., 2015) and describe our current pipeline and its applications in simulation and training both within the research and development communities, as well as operational use cases. We describe the capability for small units (fire teams, squads) with an organic asset such as handheld and launched UAS that allows them to define an Area of Interest (AOI), autonomously fly the aircraft to capture the required imagery, reconstruct the terrain features including elevation, structures, vegetation, and leverage this data for mission rehearsal, simulation, and enhancing situational awareness.

DATA COLLECTION FOR PHOTOGRAMMETRY

3D models of terrain can be developed from many sources, including airborne or ground-based LIDAR, manual creation by artists, or photogrammetry. Photogrammetry, the 3D reconstruction of an area from images, provides advantages relative to LIDAR, which is often more expensive, time-consuming, and physically more demanding. In photogrammetry, a structure-from-motion (SFM) algorithm is used to reconstruct the geometry of a static scene, given a moving camera perspective. Photogrammetry does not require emitting energy (unlike LIDAR), which offers obvious advantages in the context of defense missions, as well as reduced power and weight requirements.
Photogrammetry requires at least three images of a given feature from different positions and orientations to reconstruct that point in 3D space (Figure 1). Industry guidelines (Mikhail et al, 2001; Bohlin et al, 2012) suggest that images used for photogrammetry should have 60% or greater overlap to maximize the quality of the reconstruction. Since the 3D structure of the terrain and objects is reconstructed entirely from the images, it is necessary to have coverage of any part of the environment that needs to be included – algorithms cannot generate surface detail for areas that are not imaged from at least two (preferably many more) perspectives. The resolution of the final reconstruction is a function of the sensor system resolution, field of view, and platform altitude above the surface to be reconstructed, and results in tradeoffs between speed of data acquisition and detail level of the reconstruction.

Many contemporary Commercial, Off-the-Shelf (COTS) UAS platforms allow waypoint flying, an operating mode where the user uploads a list of geographic locations and altitudes to the platform, which then executes the mission by flying through the designated points. Our initial investigations into photogrammetry (McAlinden et al, 2015) utilized manually-developed flight plans, where each waypoint was manually plotted. This process is laborious and time-consuming. Additionally, since the control software used by the DJI Phantom 2 platform did not allow precision entry of waypoints, positions needed to be “eyeballed,” making the process error-prone and inconsistent even with a skilled operator. To improve data collection quality and to reduce the training required to successfully use the terrain reconstruction pipeline, an automated approach was desired.

Contemporary platforms such as the DJI Phantom 3 and DJI Phantom 4 include integration with the imaging system and gimbal, allowing the development of flight plans that specify geographic waypoints, gimbal pitch, and imaging requirements (ISO, white balance, shutter timing). DJI also offers a Mobile Software Development Kit (SDK) that permits developers to interact with the UAS platform via Android or iOS mobile devices. Similar SDKs exist for other control systems such as MAVLink, an open UAS control protocol used by many open-source UAS autopilot systems. Commercial photogrammetry applications exist for these systems, but do not meet the challenging needs of large-area semi-autonomous capture. For large areas, data acquisition may span multiple flights and benefit from different approaches depending on the mission requirements.

Note that FAA regulations currently limit operations of UAS for commercial purposes, including research, in the national aerospace system. Commercial UAS operation requires either a 333 Exemption or a full Certificate of Airworthiness for the platform. For the purposes of this research, a 333 Exemption was acquired and the UAS was operated in compliance with the specific language of this exemption. Data collection on base installations (Ft. Irwin, Camp Pendleton, MCAGCC Twentynine Palms) was conducted with the permission and approval of the controlling entity.

Rapid Aerial PhoTogrammetric Reconstruction System – RAPTRS

ICT has developed for the Army a Rapid Aerial PhoTogrammetric Reconstruction System (RAPTRS), an Android application (Figure 2). The application encodes our acquired knowledge of photogrammetry best practices in a straightforward user interface (UI). While other photogrammetry planning and remote control software exists, RAPTRS is designed to allow for imaging larger areas across multiple flights, and provides controls useful for producing 3D representations of terrain required for game and simulation-based platforms. The application uses DJI’s Mobile SDK 3.2 to control a DJI UAS and execute the planned mission from takeoff to touchdown.
Users plan data acquisition on a tablet touch screen interface including a 2D overhead map (Figure 2). Google Maps and Open Street Maps (OSM) are available as map rendering engines, and aerial photo and open-source intelligence street map data is loaded from Google or OSM, respectively. Additional tile sources could be rendered through OSM to include data from other collection assets that may not be available on the Internet.

Figure 2. RAPTRS tablet interface. The left panel allows the user to input task parameters such as desired overlap, altitude, and interval between photographs. This also provides statistics about the planned path including estimated run-time. The right panel allows the user to set the geographic boundaries of the task. Green lines indicate the planned path.

A RAPTRS mission is defined as one or more Imaging Tasks within a convex polygonal AOI. The user must additionally specify the height of the target object relative to the takeoff point. This information is required in order to ensure full coverage is achieved of e.g.: rooftops. The user must also specify the desired overlap between images. At least 50% overlap is required; greater overlap is preferred but incurs a trade between number of imaging passes, flight time / batteries required, and time available at the site.

Each RAPTRS Imaging Task is defined in terms of the platform’s altitude above the launch point, the sensor orientation (Nadir: oriented vertically down, or oblique: 45 degree forward), and the direction of travel. The choice of oblique image capture is motivated by challenges reconstructing vertical walls in prior work (McAlinden, 2015). Given these inputs, an algorithm uses known intrinsic characteristics of the platform including maximum airspeed, minimum interval between successive image captures, and sensor field of view to develop a path that covers the AOI. The platform speed and interval between images is set to maximize coverage while minimizing mission time.

RAPTRS includes the ability to save and resume partially-completed missions. This is important in the context of large AOIs which may be too large to cover within the flight time of a single Phantom 3 battery (15 minutes effective, plus an additional 5 min margin for safety). The application caches map data that can be recalled in the event data connections do not exist in the field.

Once a RAPTRS mission is defined, a user flies the mission by connecting to the Phantom 3 ground station / transmitter to the tablet, selecting the desired imaging task, and tapping ‘take off.’ If the UAS is correctly configured and calibrated, with GPS connectivity available, the UAS will depart, execute the entire plan, and return home when the mission is complete, entirely under autonomous flight control. An operator can interrupt the task at any time and take manual control of the platform.

Once several imaging tasks have been flown, the output is a set of geotagged high-resolution still images, covering the AOI from a variety of angles and altitudes. This data is stored onboard the UAS using a MicroSD card, and retrieved after the flight.

Datasets

Using RAPTRS and a variety of aerial imaging platforms, ICT has captured datasets of several areas (Table 1). Capture for a 1km square area can be completed in an hour or two. 3D reconstruction of a single dataset can be completed in a matter of hours, with additional processing time resolving more detail.
Table 1. Terrain datasets captured.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Photo Count</th>
<th>Platform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis Metro Area</td>
<td>3300</td>
<td>Manned aircraft + aerial photography system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo Park Neighborhood, Los Angeles</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>Phantom 2 + GoPro Hero 3 Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Training Center Tiefort</td>
<td>1268</td>
<td>Phantom 2 + GoPro Hero 3 Black</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Training Center Medina Wasl</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Phantom 3 Professional w/ RAPTRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Twentynine Palms Range 400</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>Phantom 3 Advanced w/ RAPTRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp Pendleton IIT</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>Phantom 3 Advanced w/ RAPTRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Southern California University Park Campus</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>Phantom 3 Advanced w/ RAPTRS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CREATION OF TERRAIN ASSETS BASED ON PHOTOGRAMMETRY

Once raw visual-spectrum photographic data is acquired through RAPTRS or another acquisition system, post-processing must be performed to prepare the data for use in a real time 3D rendering system and assign meaningful properties to the virtual environment. A high-density point cloud must be generated. The point cloud must be converted into meshes, and texture data must be generated. The textures and meshes must then be down-sampled to a density and resolution appropriate for use in real-time rendered graphics. We present an overview of our current pipeline, which combines commercial software and tools developed specifically for this research.

Point Cloud Creation

A point cloud is a data structure containing information about a collection of points in three-dimensional space. The point cloud does not contain data about any surface that may be defined by the points, but may contain additional per-point information such as color. To generate a point cloud, a large corpus of photos must be aligned, and a dense point cloud generated from this data (Figure 3). Existing commercial and research tools (Agisoft Photoscan, Pix4D Mapper, Smart3DCapture) provide the necessary algorithms to process a set of overlapping two-dimensional visible-spectrum photographs into a high-density point cloud. These tools implement similar pipelines from a set of images to a dense point cloud.

Figure 3. Photogrammetric reconstruction of a pedestrian overpass within a training town in the National Training Center, Ft. Irwin, CA, illustrating the sparse (left) and dense (right) point clouds.
Each photograph is analyzed individually to identify recognizable image features. An algorithm such as Scale Invariant Feature Transforms (SIFT) (Lowe, 1999; Lowe, 2004) or Speeded Up Robust Features (SURF) (Bay et al, 2006) is generally used in this step, since these algorithms produce feature descriptors that remain consistent across images when the camera moves relative to the target scene. These image features points are then correlated across multiple images. Similarity data including geotags embedded in image EXIF metadata may be used to constrain the search space for correlation. These correlated points are termed “tie points,” and successful point cloud reconstruction requires on the order of tens of thousands of tie points.

Once tie points are established, the three-dimensional location and orientation of the camera for each photograph is determined using a bundle adjustment algorithm. This algorithm attempts to optimize the placement of each camera position such that error across all tie points is minimized. The aligned images and known tie points are then used to reconstruct a dense point cloud. This process fills in the majority of spatial data by projecting back through the camera model to determine the depth of each pixel in each source image. The output of this pipeline is a dense point cloud and a set of aligned photographs. In the context of simulation and game-engine based tools, point cloud data is more useful when converted to meshes and/or height maps, which can be used in the underlying engine.

**Mesh and Digital Elevation Model Creation**

Real time 3D simulation engines typically do not operate on raw point clouds. These applications, instead, require meshes and digital elevation models (DEMs) or heightmaps, which encode data about the surface of the terrain and objects and structures on the terrain in a format that is efficient to operate on for rendering.

In order to prepare the raw point cloud for use in a real time engine, it is necessary to classify points as part of the terrain surface, or part of a structure or vegetation (Figure 4). These classifications influence both the way in which data about the points are stored, as discussed immediately below, and also the way in which a simulation system may reason about the terrain.

Height and slope gradients provide one clear feature for classification. Structures typically have steep, planar walls and relatively flat roofs. These features may be identified by discontinuities in elevation. In some environments, color provides another useful feature. For imagery collected during the growing season, vegetation may be detected based on green leaves.

Point classification remains one of the challenging aspects of terrain capture from aerial imagery. With currently available tools, the inputs to the clustering algorithm must be tuned using trial-and-error to achieve a satisfactory result. While experience provides some guide, manual tuning is almost always required. This presents a barrier to truly streamlined ingest of photogrammetry data into a game engine, and is the subject of planned future research.

**Digital Elevation Models and Orthoimagery**

DEMs and orthographic photomosaic textures (orthotextures) are used to efficiently encode data about a terrain surface. These abstractions assume that the surface has no overhangs. This representation is convenient for terrain, which has mainly gradual changes in elevation, but not suitable for structures, vehicles, and other objects which may appear in the data capture and have vertical detail and overhangs (Figure 5).

A DEM is a raster image where the value of one channel is mapped to elevation. A variety of height map formats are utilized in the GIS space. GeoTIFF, for instance, can include extensive metadata specifying the geospatial bounds of the image, the datum, range of elevations, datatype of multiple bands/channels, etc.
The orthographic image (orthoimage) covers the same geographic area as the height map, but specifies the color of the terrain (RGB). Multiple images from the dataset are blended to determine the color of each pixel. With overflights at an altitude of 150 feet above ground level (AGL) using the Phantom 3’s 4k x 3k camera, texture resolution of less than 10cm per pixel can be achieved. An example report showing ground-sampling distance at Twentynine Palms Range 400 can be seen in Figure 6.

**Meshes**

Almost all 3D graphics software used for real-time rendering uses meshes to display structures, props, characters, vehicles, and other objects present in the scene. A mesh consists of triangles defined by three points in 3d space. Each point can include additional information about the surface normal, the texture coordinates of that triangle, and other metadata. Shading algorithms generate color data for each pixel covered by a given triangle by interpolating across the texture data and appropriately shading the surface based on lighting conditions.

The process of converting from a point cloud to a mesh involves calculating a set of triangles that wrap the surface defined by the point cloud. Each point cloud point becomes a vertex in the mesh (Figure 7, left). Once these triangles are developed, rough per-vertex color values may be assigned based on the point cloud colors. These values are interpolated between vertices to approximate surface color (Figure 7, center). Vastly more detail is available in the aligned photographs, however, and as a final step, these images are combined and blended based on the alignment information to generate a high-resolution texture map (Figure 7, right). This texture map mapped onto the mesh to represent surface data is too fine-grained to be captured in the photogrammetric reconstruction.
Post Processing and Engine Import

The DEM and mesh generation steps create intermediate assets that are not yet appropriate for use in real-time rendering or simulation engines. Additional processing steps reduce the geometric complexity of the meshes to levels appropriate for real-time use, break the DEM into manageable tiles, and prepare the data for use with physics and simulation engines.

Mesh Optimization

Meshes created directly from raw high-density point clouds are often too dense for real time use. Each triangle incurs a low cost to render; simplifying the mesh by applying procedural decimation algorithms (Moenning & Dodgson, 2003; Pauly et al., 2002) can reduce the “weight” of the mesh and improve rendering performance for real time use. Additionally, many photogrammetry applications generate all objects as a point class as a single mesh entity – every building in a captured town scene, for instance. This prevents the engine from applying certain optimizations such as frustum culling. Frustum culling is the process of removing objects which fall entirely outside the camera’s view from rendering, thus reducing the drawing overhead and increasing performance (Coorg and Teller, 1997).

DEM Pyramid Generation and Terrain Import

The Unity3D rendering engine expects that a terrain tile will be defined by a square, power-of-two DEM having one 16-bit channel representing elevation. Our pipeline leverages the Geospatial Data Abstraction Library (GDAL, 2012) to translate between the GeoTIFF DEM exported by PhotoScan and the specific format used by a given rendering engine. In order to achieve real-time performance it is necessary to load only a portion of the resulting height map and orthotexture at any given time. An image pyramid approach is used so that no matter the scale, a roughly equivalent amount of system memory and GPU memory is required. When viewing a wide area, a relatively low-resolution map can be loaded; for low-level detail, higher-resolution tiles for the area near the viewport may be loaded. A Unity script has been developed that automates the process of importing and placing terrain tiles for each level of the terrain pyramid, and switching between levels of detail based on the renderer’s camera position. These optimizations work on top of optimization already present in Unity3D’s terrain renderer to preserve performance even when running simulations on large areas.

Reconstruction Quality and Future Directions

While systematic, automated data collection has allowed significant improvements in reconstruction quality, significant issues remain with the quality of the reconstructed data, particularly for first-person, ground-level viewpoints. As in prior work (McAlindien et al, 2015) the reconstruction is suitable for use from a third person, overhead perspective. When viewed from afar, artifacts in the DEM, mesh, or texture, are easily dismissed. When viewed from ground level, however, minor errors, particularly in texture alignment, can be obvious. For this reason, terrain reconstructed with this pipeline remains best viewed from an altitude of greater than twenty-five meters. The ground-level view is useful for some tasks, but significant improvements in reconstruction, or manual intervention by skilled artists, is required to meet end-user expectations for first-person view. Research efforts are underway to capture data at a first-person perspective using unmanned ground systems (UGS), as well as aerial platforms flying at eye-level (Colomina & Molina, 2014). The general rule of thumb when using photogrammetrically-derived 3D data is to not view/use it at a level of fidelity greater than the collection platform.
Our prior work suggests that while geospecific geometry is optimal for structures, roads, and other macro-scale details that are significant for operations, it may be possible to utilize geotypical assets for other classes of entity (McAlinden et al, 2015). Entities such as vegetation, street lamps, and other generic structures in order to reduce the burden of accurately reconstructing geometry for complex three dimensional forms like leaf canopies or branch structures. Our current output does not yet incorporate this approach, but work into algorithmically replacing vegetation with geotypical equivalents from a library continues.

USE OF ASSETS FOR SIMULATION AND TRAINING

The three-dimensional terrain datasets acquired through this pipeline are useful in a variety of modeling, simulation, and training tasks. We discuss how additional data can be added to the present a number of prototype applications developed with input from our customers. The figures in this section refer specifically to the Unity3D game engine, but these techniques are available in most commercial real time game and simulation engines, including VBS2/3, Titan and Unreal Engine.

![Figure 8. A navigation mesh in the Unity3D engine. The teal areas are walkable; polygon boundaries are indicated by lines.](image)

Navigation, Colliders and Physics Properties

Once the terrain and structures have been imported into the engine, additional data and annotations can be applied to enhance functionality for simulation and training applications.

In-engine tools allow the calculation of navigation meshes which enable runtime path-planning by AI agents moving on the terrain (Snook, 2000). A navigation mesh represents the connectivity of the surface for movement given some constraints (Figure 8). For instance, the navigation mesh may be calculated with the assumption that agents cannot climb slopes steeper than 60 degrees, or pass through gaps smaller than 50 centimeters. The navigation mesh is calculated when the scenario is developed and cached for quick searching at runtime. Once the terrain data is ingested into the simulation engine, the scenario developer does not have to be concerned with the acquisition process – the terrain data, structures and navigation mesh appear as any other asset from the scenario development and software perspective.

By querying the navigation mesh to find the least expensive path between two points, the simulation engine can develop a sequence of waypoints by which an agent may move from its current location to some target. Cost is defined by the number of edges crossed. Some implementations also allow the application of costs to movement across different terrain, allowing the AI agents to appear to make intelligent decisions about movement planning. Steep slopes or rocky terrain may be defined as more expensive than even terrain for dismounted individuals, while roads could be defined as less expensive for wheeled or tracked vehicles. Once a path is developed, steering algorithms can be used to cause entities to follow formations (wedge, line, column, or others).
Terrain and structures can be made interactive with physics simulation by the addition of colliders. Many physics engines allow DEMs to be used for collisions with no further modification, using the same height data as for rendering. Polygonal models can also be used directly for collision calculation, but to do so directly can be inefficient since every triangle in the model must be considered for every collision calculation. Primitive shapes (box, sphere, capsule) can be more efficiently modeled, so, for instance, a structure that does not need to be entered could be modeled as a bounding box surrounding the geometry. Multiple colliders can be used to approximate complex structures if, for instance, the application demands that simulated projectiles pass accurately over a lower section of roof (Figure 9). Fitting colliders to complex geometry is a process that can be automated, and a variety of commercial tools exist to support this process in different game engines (e.g.: Concave Collider for Unity3D).

Once colliders are defined for terrain, structures and other objects in a simulated scene, physics and simulation code can interact with the world. Collisions can be calculated between vehicles or dismounted Soldiers and terrain or structures such that a large wheeled vehicle can roll across terrain but cannot pass through a small gate. In addition to physics collisions between objects, raycasts can be performed to determine if, for instance, a round’s trajectory impacts a collider or another entity. With this collision data and additional properties annotated in the world, a library modeling ballistics could, for instance, calculate the passage of rounds of varying caliber through walls of a given material and thickness. Examples of the output of this research are available online (McAlinden, 2016).

**Mission Planning, Rehearsal, and Training**

One immediate application of the reconstructed terrain and structures is in mission rehearsal and training. Using real time graphics techniques the terrain may be examined and approximate line of sight (LOS) for a person or sensor system any given point (Figure 10). This information is useful for positioning support-by-fire elements, planning movement in cover or concealment, etc. While other methods exist of visualizing and understanding LOS on traditional maps, the ability to visualize the data, manipulate positions of entities in real time, and immediately and visually observe the consequences, offers potential advantages for training or planning. The ability to rapidly execute this type of analysis on data collected by non-experts in the field is a novel capability of the RAPTRS pipeline.

Mission rehearsal presents another use case for reconstructed terrain and structures, both in training and potentially in the field. The planned movements of units during an exercise can be illustrated on the 3D environment (Figure 11). The user can choreograph a scheme of maneuver on the terrain, and preview the progression of the mission in 3D. Friendly and hostile units are placed on the reconstructed terrain using a visual menu system. Units are identified by standard MIL-2525 symbology. Units may be combined using context menus (e.g.: multiple squads into one platoon; multiple platoons into one company) or split (one squad into constituent fireteams). Unit icons are aggregated based on the virtual camera’s position relative to the simulated terrain – from a distance, a company is represented as a single symbol to reduce display clutter; up close, the symbols resolve into individual Platoons, squads, fire teams and individual Soldiers as appropriate. Units may be given orders such as “Move to this location” or “Attack this position.”
The navigation mesh is used to automate the fine details of route planning. Formations, groupings, and any other information are reflected in the unit representation as they navigate the terrain. The current implementation does not simulate detailed kinetics or losses from engagements, but these features may be added in future revisions. These features are implemented using game engine features independent of the terrain import pipeline, and are generalizable to geotypical or entirely synthetic environments.

**Fusion3D**

An alternative means for visualizing 3D terrain is using a software application developed at the U. S. Army Research Laboratory, called Fusion3D. Fusion3D supports stereoscopic viewing, using a 3D display and either active or passive 3D glasses, and is capable of displaying digital elevation models (DEMs) fused with orthophotos, point clouds, and other sensor data. DEMs provide regular grids of elevation measurements for an area of terrain. And, orthophotos are aerial images that have been geometrically corrected such that their scales are uniform and therefore can be overlaid on planimetric maps. Orthophotos are typically higher resolution than DEMs, so Fusion3D fuses the orthophoto and DEM at the full resolution of the orthophoto using a hybrid technique, where smooth surfaces are draped and rough surfaces are drawn more like a point cloud. A group of orthophoto pixels, or a tile, is displayed floating at the elevation and angle indicated by the DEM. Individual tiles are compared to their neighbors to classify the associated region as smooth or rough. Tiles classified as locally smooth are joined with neighboring tiles, in a method referred to as draping, generating a continuous surface. Locally rough tiles are left disjoined (U. S. Patent No. 8,249,346). The disjoined tiles allow the rough surface to look more realistic and therefore are easier to interpret. Continuous surfaces work well for visualizing large-scale features, such as mountains and large buildings, but cause smaller objects, such as trees and bushes, to be indistinguishable from one another. Fusion3D’s hybrid technique for representing surfaces provides easy navigation over large 3D terrain maps at a variety of scales.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK**

New means of image-based data collection continue to become available almost daily. Smaller cameras, better optics, cheaper cost, more efficient batteries, increased stabilization, 360-degree perspectives, intelligent image capturing, and the ability to include all of these capabilities on fully-autonomous craft (air or ground) affords the research and M&S communities a wonderful opportunity to examine new ways of producing usable datasets for training, simulation and mission rehearsal. Combine this with advances in UAS design and availability, and other sensors that move beyond the visible spectrum (FLIR, IR, multi-spectral, hyper-spectral), and there is little debate that the quality and quantity of data to produce a usable 3D geo-specific dataset of the planet will increase in the coming years. The M&S community writ large has an opportunity to exploit this data and gain a decisive advantage in how it is used and consumed moving forward. One interesting side-note is that much of this data is driven by non-traditional, non-GIS markets that have exploded as of late – hobbyists, agriculture, mining, cinematography, and others. These markets are driving the innovation, and combined with the powers of crowd-sourcing and cloud services, an almost limitless supply of processing power and output is available.

In this paper, we have presented only one possible approach to exploiting these capabilities. The RAPTRS application provides an automated workflow for non-expert users to capture structured sets of images for photogrammetric terrain.
reconstruction. A pipeline from RAPTRS datasets through commercial photogrammetry software and into real time gaming and simulation engines has been constructed and validated, with example applications illustrating the use of the pipeline. Despite this progress, significant work remains before these results can be considered ready for ground-level, first-person use. While automating the acquisition pipeline demonstrably improves quality, the tradeoff between altitude and capture time remains poorly quantified. High detail reconstruction requires many images at high resolution; lower altitude allows for greater detail from the same optics, but requires more passes and more images, consuming more time.

Terrain surface, structures, and vegetation benefit from different reconstruction strategies: DEMs, reconstructed meshes, and replacement with geotypical meshes. Improvements must be made, however, in the process of classifying the point cloud, reconstructing structures and other objects in the scene with acceptable geometry and textures for ground-level first-person views, and in recognizing and inserting appropriate geotypical vegetation and props when possible to improve the first-person experience. Promising preliminary results have been obtained by employing computer vision techniques on individual photographs to classify objects in the scene; work continues on this trajectory.

Specific areas of additional research include producing first-person quality datasets using more detailed imagery and collection techniques, as well as alternative forms of classification/segmentation of surfaces that allow the data to be usable in a game/simulation environment. With this additional research and development, there exists the opportunity to produce a highly-automated pipeline that allows non-expert UAS operators in organic, small-unit environments to acquire imagery and rapidly reconstruct terrain in the field for use in simulation and training.

In contrast to most other forms of terrain capture (satellite assets, manned aerial LIDAR or photography), a small UAS (sUAS) can be moved to the point of need and deployed by end-users without extensive training. Many sUAS can be fielded for the cost of a single manned asset, and these low-cost UAS may be essentially treated as expendable, allowing their use in higher-risk scenarios. This capability gives smaller elements the ability to map out an area of operations for training or operations more quickly and update that map more often than would be possible with a manned fixed-wing platform or satellite system. A squad-sized element could image a training area of operations with an sUAS, process the data on a ruggedized PC, and have a 3D representation representing the area as it is today, not as it was the last time it was overflown by BUCKEYE (LIDAR/orthophoto co-collection from a fixed winged aircraft) or Google Earth, ready for training and rehearsal needs within a few hours. This allows the capture of scenario-specific terrain features or structures such as berms, trenches, sandbags, and the like without extensive artist or map designer effort. The potential value of this timely data for training, simulation, and operations is enormous.

The data captured by a small UAS platform also offers potential value to augment collects by manned aerial assets, satellites, etc. The commercial sector is already imaging much of the world at sub-meter resolution, and this information is widely available. Ongoing work such as One World Terrain could integrate spot data from small UAS with data from other sources to provide an advantage over widely-available public datasets, while still leveraging the availability of worldwide terrain.
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